



ussailing.org

HEARING REQUEST

for **protests**, requests for
redress, and **reopening**

FOR COMMITTEE USE

Time & date received _____ Rec'd by _____

Protest time limit _____ Filing # _____

Race # Day/Date of Race

Event/Series

Type of Hearing

☐ Protest

☐ Redress

☐ Reopening

Boat or Committee Protesting or Requesting

Making allegation, claim, or request

Boat (sail # / name) _____

-OR- Committee _____

Represented by _____

Mobile phone _____

Email _____

Protestee(s) or Committee/Body

Alleged to have broken a rule, or made an improper action or omission

Boat (sail # / name) _____

Boat (sail # / name) _____

Boat (sail # / name) _____

Boat (sail # / name) _____

-OR- Committee/Body _____

For a protest only, informing the protestee: How did you inform the other boat of your intent to protest?

If "Yes" when (for each)?

By hailing? ☐ No ☐ Yes

Words used?

By displaying a red flag? ☐ No ☐ Yes

Some other way? ☐ No ☐ Yes

Where / how?

The incident

For a protest: When and where did it happen? (e.g. ½ way along first upwind leg, pre-start just below pin, etc.)

For protests, please describe the incident. For redress or reopening, please state the reasons.

O

Rules alleged to have been broken



HEARING REQUEST

(continued)

For either a protest or a request for redress or reopening (if relevant)

Diagram Include wind and current (**or** continue the description of the incident and/or reasons)

The race committee was recently made aware that Lucky did not race in conformance with their ORR certificate and the ORR class rules,

- 1) Sheeted a Large Roach Hedsail to an outrigger when only non-Large Roach Headsails can be sheeted to outriggers ORR 10.05.F
- 2) Carried onboard more than one large roach headsail, see ORR 10.02 or 10.0
- 3) Sailed with a large roach headsail larger than declared on the rating certificate.
- 4) Sailed with an outrigger without being rated for the outrigger See ORR 10.05.e

Witnesses Please list by name

USE ONLY TO WITHDRAW FILING

I request to withdraw this filing

Signature of representative

Print name

Filing withdrawal approved

Signature of PC member

Print name



Hearing: Race Committee vs. Lucky
15 July 2025, 1500 HST

Parties

Initiator: Transpac 2025 race committee (Tom Trujillo)

Respondent: Lucky (Stan Honey)

Witnesses: Bryon Ehrhart (Lucky)

Procedural Matters

1. The hearing was conducted via video conference. The parties, witnesses, and members of the hearing panel were all offsite and remote from each other.
2. On approach to the finish area, Lucky was observed and photographed under full sail.
3. Lucky was flying her mainsail and three headsails.
4. From some of the photos published, the rating authority saw that Lucky appeared to be racing with a sail that was not declared on her ORR certificate.
5. From some of the photos published, the rating authority saw that Lucky appeared to be racing with an outrigger which was not declared on her ORR certificate.
6. The rating authority contacted the race committee, supplying documentation of its concerns.
7. Lucky stipulated to the evidence supplied by the rating authority and agreed that it could be admitted without Lucky having the opportunity to question the evidence or the rating authority further.

Validity

1. Shortly after hearing from the rating authority, the race committee filed a hearing request, protesting Lucky for breaking rules of the event, including rules in the notice of race and the class rules.
2. Within minutes of that filing, the race committee notified Lucky about the protest in writing and by phone. Lucky acknowledged being notified.
3. The protest was filed more than 24 hours after Lucky finished. Because the relevant information also came to light after that time, and because other boats were still racing at that time, there was good reason to extend the protest time limit.

Facts Found

1. During the hearing, Lucky expressed remorse and stipulated to the concerns raised by the rating authority and the allegations presented in the protest. Specifically, she agreed that:
 - a. She was racing with a large roach headsail attached to an outrigger.
 - b. She was racing with a second large roach headsail on board.
 - c. She was racing with an outrigger she had not declared in her rating certificate.
 - d. She had raced while not complying with the certificate issued by the rating authority.



Hearing: Race Committee vs. Lucky
15 July 2025, 1500 HST

2. Lucky was not aware of her noncompliance with the rules until she was notified by the rating authority and race committee. This notification happened after Lucky was no longer racing.

Conclusions

1. By racing with a large roach headsail sheeted to an outrigger, Lucky broke NoR 1.8.
2. By racing with more than one large roach headsail on board, Lucky broke ORR 10.2.
3. By racing with an outrigger that was not included in her rating certificate, Lucky broke NoR 1.8.
4. By racing out of compliance with her class rules, Lucky broke RRS 78.1.

Decision

1. Using the World Sailing Discretionary Penalty Guidelines a starting penalty of 5% of elapsed time was decided.
2. There were no circumstances to justify decreasing the penalty.
3. The penalty was increased because there were multiple and repeated breaches.
4. The penalty is a 9-hour discretionary penalty, applied to Lucky's elapsed time. Lucky's corrected time is to be recalculated including the 9-hour penalty.

Hearing Panel

Michael Roth, Kevin Hawkins, John Christman

Decision Delivered

16 July 2025, 11:15 HST